



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,513	10/812,513 03/30/2004		Aaron Chapman	1050/127	3218
2101	7590	10/05/2005		EXAMINER	
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP				RIVERA, WILLIAM ARAUZ	
125 SUMM BOSTON.		<del>_</del> -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
202.0,		.0 .010		3654	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1/-						
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/812,513	CHAPMAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		William A. Rivera	3654				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
′=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
-	$\text{Claim}(s)  \underline{\textit{1-13}}  \text{is/are pending in the application.}$		•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		-				
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
· •/□	are subject to recinction and or	olookon roquiromonia	,				
Applicati	on Papers						
-	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	* * *	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	,	animor. Note the attached embe	7.00.011 07 1011111 1 TO-102.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:						
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
_	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/5/05</u> .	6)  Other:	+F(- ( - ( - ( - ( - ( - ( - ( - (				
<del> </del>							

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "26" and "32" have both been used to designate the "pivot point for arm". See Figures 3 and 4. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCoy (U.S. Patent No. 2,039,915).

With respect to Claims 1-9 and 12-13, McCoy, Figures 1-5, teaches a dispenser, the dispenser comprising: a substantially cylindrical reel; braking means for inhibiting rotation of the reel; and an arm, movable between first and second positions, being arranged to bear against a

Art Unit: 3654

portion of hose in use, wherein the arm is operatively associated with the braking means such that when the arm is in the first position the braking means inhibits rotational motion of the reel, and when the arm is in the second position the braking means does not inhibit rotational motion of the reel, and the reel is able to rotate to dispense hose; the support comprises a frame; the arm is arranged in use to be moveable between the first and second positions in dependence upon tension in a hose bearing against the arm; the arm is pivotally mounted, at at least one end thereof, with respect to the reel; wherein pivotal movement of the arm between the first and second positions is arranged to cause engagement or disengagement of the braking means.

McCoy teaches all the elements of the dispenser except for the hose. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the dispenser of McCoy is capable of having a hose wound about the reel.

Page 3

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCoy as applied to claims 1-9 and 12-13 above.

With respect to Claim 10, McCoy is advanced above. McCoy teaches all the elements of the dispenser except for hood. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a hood for the purpose of protecting the end of the hose.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCoy as applied to claims 1-9 and 12-13 above, and further in view of Lowery (U.S. Patent No. 4,457,527).

With respect to Claim 11, McCoy is advanced above. McCoy teaches all the elements of the dispenser except for the dispenser being mounted on a trolley. Lowery, Figure 1, teaches a

Application/Control Number: 10/812,513

Art Unit: 3654

Page 4

trolley. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide McCoy with a trolley, as taught by Lowery, to facilitate the transportation of the reel.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William A. Rivera whose telephone number is 571-272-6953. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday - 7:30 to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on 571-272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM A. RIVERA PRIMARY EXAMINER

September 30, 2005